(B)

(1)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR: C.G.
M.A. NO. 1227 OF 2004

MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL UNDER SECTION 173, MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988

Appellant :
Non-Applicants 5

United India Insurance Co.Ltd.,
Anupam Chowk, Jagdalpur,
Through Divisional Manager, United India Insurance Co.Ltd.

Versus

Respondents:

1. Ranjeet Biswas S/o Surendra Biswas R/o Dantewada District South Bastar, Dantewada.
2. Shri K. Gopinathan S/o Keshwan,
   R/o New Market, Bacheli, District Dantewada.
3. Vinay Kumar S/o Kirtan Kumar
   R/o Type – I, Bacheli, District Dantewada.
4. Deepak Kumar Yadav S/o D.R.Yadav
   R/o Bacheli, District Dantewada.

-- **Non-Applicants 1 to 4**

5. Raman Kumar Sahu,
   C/o Deepak Kumar Yadav S/o Kirtan Yadav
   R/o Bacheli, District Dantewada.

-- **Non-Applicant No.6**

6. Smt.Sukmati Wd/o Late Shri Balaiya
   R/o Murga Bazaar, Bacheli District Dantewada.
7. Vijay Chunnem S/o Late Balaiya
   R/o Murga Bazaar, District Dantewada.

-- **Applicants**

P.R. No. 2226/04 Presented by Shri Sanjay Agrawal dated 08.11.04

Claim in appeal for setting aside the interim award,
Valued at Rs.50,000/- x 1/2 = 25,000/-
Fixed Court Fee affixed Rs.15/-
(Receipt regarding deposition of Rs.12,500/- as required under section 173, M.V.Act, 1988).

17.11.04

Contd..2

(4)

(2)

Being aggrieved by award, dated 9.8.2004 in Claims Case No.156/2003, passed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal South Bastar, Dantewada, the appellant prefers this appeal on the following facts and grounds:

XI-HC-22

(67)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक M.A No. 1227/04 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	15/02/2006 Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, Counsel for the appellant. Shri Praful Bharat, Counsel for the respondents No. 6 and 7. None for other respondents. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that during pendency of this appeal final award has been passed, therefore, the instant appeal has become infructuous, to which learned counsel for the respondents No. 6 and 7 did not oppose. Considered. The appeal is dismissed as infructuous. In the light of above order, IA No. 393/2006 for out of turn hearing stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **V.K.Shrivastava** **Judge**	

raju